REFORT RESUMES

ED 010 942

FL 000 303

IMPROVING MULTIPLE-CHOICE GRAMMAR TESTS IN GERMAN. BY- VALETTE, REBECCA M.

PUB DATE JAN 67

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.09 HC-\$0.36 9F.

DESCRIPTORS- *GERMAN, *LANGUAGE TESTS, *MULTIPLE CHOICE TESTS, *TEST CONSTRUCTION, *TEST VALIDITY, COLLEGE STUDENTS, GRAMMAR, PERFORMANCE FACTORS, TEST PROBLEMS, TEST INTERPRETATION,

AN ANALYSIS OF THE PERFORMANCE OF FIRST-SEMESTER COLLEGE STUDENTS ON A MULTIPLE-CHOICE TEST OF PROFICIENCY IN GERMAN GRAMMAR REVEALED A CURIOUS PATTERN. LOW SCORING STUDENTS TRIED TO ESTABLISH A CORRELATION BETWEEN THE DETERMINER AND THE WORD PRECEDING IT, APPARENTLY BY MATCHING SIMILAR OR PARALLEL FINAL VOWELS OR CONSONANTS WITH INFLECTED ENDINGS (FOR EXAMPLE, "NEBEN DEN" OR "UEBER EINER"). TESTS SHOULD BE IMPROVED, CONSEQUENTLY, BY REVISING ALL ITEMS IN WHICH FOOR STUDENT MIGHT COME UPON THE CORRECT RESPONSE BY FOLLOWING SUCH AN ERRONEOUS SYSTEM. THIS ARTICLE IS A REPRINT FROM "THE GERMAN QUARTERLY" VOLUME 4D, NUMBER 1, JANUARY 1967. (AS)

ED010942

The German Quarterly

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

L 000 303

VOL. XL - JANUARY 1967 - NO. 1

oblished by the AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF TEACHERS
OF GERMAN in January, March, May, and November
Membership Issue in September



The German Quarterly

Published by the American Association of Teachers of German in January, March, May, and November—Membership Issue in September Known Office of Publication: Van Rooy Printing Company, South Memorial Drive, Appleton, Wis. 54911

EDITOR:

ERIC

ROBERT M. BROWNING, Hamilton College, Clinton, N.Y. 13323

- BOOK REVIEW EDITOR: CLIFFORD A. BERND, Dept. of German, University of California, Davis, Calif. 95616
- NOTES IN BRIEF EDITOR: H. F. Peters, Dept. of Foreign Languages, Portland State College, Portland, Oreg. 97207
- MEMBERS OF THE ALVISORY BOARD: FREDERICK J. CHURCHILL, Hofstra University; William G. Moulton, Princeton University; Paul Schach, University of Nebraska; Jack M. Stein, Harvard University; Harry Steinhauer, University of California, Santa Barbara.
- BUSINESS MANAGER OF THE GERMAN QUARTERLY AND TREASURER OF THE AATG: Adolph Wegener, Muhlenberg College, Allentown, Pa. 18104
- ADVERTISING MANAGER, THE GERMAN QUARTERLY: BERNARD RECHTSCHAFFEN, Dept. of Modern Languages, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn, Brooklyn, N.Y. 11201
- AATG PLACEMENT SERVICE: ADOLF E. Schroeder, Dept. of Foreign Languages, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, La. 70803
- NCSA AATG SERVICE CENTER: 339 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106

Contributions for publication should be submitted in the form prescribed by The MLA Style Sheet (obtainable for 50 cents from The Treasurer, MLA 4 Washington Place, New York, N.Y. 10003) to staff members as follows:
a) manuscripts to the Editor; b) books for review and commissioned book reviews to the Book Review Editor; c) contributions to "Notes in Brief" to the Notes in Brief Editor.—Chapter Reports should be sent to the Editor.

Business communications regarding membership, subscription, change of mailing address, and availability of back issues may be sent to the Treasurer of the AATG. Requests for reservation of advertising space should be addressed to the Advertising Manager.

AATG annual membership dues, which includes subscription to THE GERMAN QUARTERLY, are \$8.00. Annual subscription price of THE GERMAN QUARTERLY is \$7.50 (single copy: \$2.00).

THE GERMAN QUARTERLY is listed in The Education Index.

The American Association of Teachers of German is a constituent member of the National Federation of Modern Language Teachers' Associations.

SECOND-CLASS POSTAGE PAID AT APPLETON, WISCONSIN

- FL 000 303

IMPROVING MULTIPLE-CHOICE GRAMMAR TESTS IN GERMAN

Rebecca M. Valette

One of the objections most frequently levelled against multiple-choice tests, be they in German or any other subject, is that poorer students often guess the right answers and occasionally obtain higher scores than merited. This danger can be considerably reduced by eliminating items in which students frequently tend to guess the right answer for the wrong reasons. It was precisely with this intention in mind that the following study was carried out: On what grounds does the poorer student tend to select the response to an item he does not know?

The experiment

Five classes of first-year first-semester college German (82 students) were simultaneously given a comprehensive objective-type final examination. The examination included sections on listening discrimination, listening comprehension, reading, writing and dictation. The written parts were graded objectively according to a prearranged point system and each student's total score was reduced to numerical terms. Overall performance determined the selection of the upper and lower 27% of the papers; item analyses were carried out on the machine-scored parts of the test.¹

The grammar items

Multiple-choice questions evaluating passive knowledge of morphology and syntax were presented as reading items. From the pedagogical point of view, this type of discrete item is not recommended as a homework or daily quiz device: for the audio-lingual classroom, pattern-type exercises are more effective in bringing the students to think in the foreign language. On a comprehensive test, however, the multiple-choice items allow the teacher to sample a large number

I should like to express my appreciation for their assistance to Dr. Clifford Stewart and to Mrs. Harriet Seligssohn, statistician for the Office of Evaluation Services, University of South Florida.

87

Reprint from The German Quarterly, Jan. 1967 - Vol. XL, No. 1

ERIC

of aspects of structure in a relatively short period of time. Moreover the scoring is rapid and objective.

Once the student has mastered the indirect-direct object pattern in German and acquired the linguistic habit of saying: "Ich gebe dem Mann das Buch," he should experience no difficulty with a test item such as:

Ich gebe - das Buch.

- A. der Mann
- B. des Mannes
- C. dem Mann
- D. den Mann

As the student reads the sentence, he automatically furnishes the correct form. In a sense such an item parallels the student's thought process in the foreign language: he first considers who is to receive the book, that is, the man, and employs the proper article and form of the noun as a matter of habit.²

In this section of the final examination, the grammar items were all presented with four options. The response patterns of the upper 27%, as could be predicted, generally showed 17 to 21 correct responses per item; 22 papers were included in this group. The response patterns of the lower 27% were given special attention.

Performance of the lower group

The grammar section proved to be the most difficult part of the test. Generally the response of the lower group (22 papers) spread themselves evenly over the possible options; this pattern would indicate that the poorer students were guessing rather aimlessly and that on a series of four-option items only one-fourth of the sample would tend to select the correct answer.

² For pedagogical reasons the longer item form was perferred to the more common short forms:

Ich gebe (der, des, den, dem) Mann das Buch. or, Ich gebe — Mann das Buch. A. der B. des C. dem D. den E. die

In items where the student must select the proper article, the reading of whole word groups such as der Mann, das Land or die Uhr reinforces the proper association of noun and determiner.

Let us consider some typical examples:3

1.	Das Auto gehört —.	A. ich (6)
		B. mein (6)
		C. mir (7)
		D. mich (3)
2.	Wir fahren alle auf —.	A. das Land (7)
	*	B. des Landes (3)
		C. dem Lande (8)
		D. den Ländern (4)
3.	Die Sonne schien durch	A. der Wald (6)
		B. des Waldes (7)
		C. dem Wald (3)
		D. den Wald (4)
4.	Richard geht heute zu	A. ein Arzt (6)
		B. eines Arztes (6)
		C. einem Arzt (5)
		D. einen Arzt (5)
		- •

Other items, however, showed a distinct deviation from the expected random distribution of answers. Consider the following:

		~
5 .	Der Schüler steht zwischen	A. die Fenster (1)
		B. des Fensters (7)
		C. das Fenster (3)
		D. den Fenstern (10)
6.	Richard bat sie um	A. der Zucker (3)
		B. des Zuckers (2)
		C. dem Zucker (10)
		D. den Zucker (6)
7.	Vor - steht ein Tisch.	A. der Stuhl (11)
		B. des Stuhles (2)
		C. dem Stuhl (3)
	.•	D. den Stuhl (4)

The number in parentheses indicates the number of students who selected the response. Occasionally the total responses for an item do not add up to 22 because one or more students left the item unanswered.

THE GERMAN QUARTERLY

8.	Es sind die Blumen — Mutter.	 A. Ihre gute (0) B. Ihrer guten (5) C. Ihrem guten (5) D. Ihren guten (12)
9.	Ich habe — Durst.	A. kein (4) B. keine (16) C. keinen (1) D. keinem (1)
10.	Sie gingen hinter —.	A. der Laden (10) B. des Ladens (3) C. dem Laden (7) D. den Laden (2)
11.	Die Vögel fliegen über —.	 A. der Tiergarten (11) B. des Tiergartens (2) C. dem Tiergarten (4) D. den Tiergarten (5)
12.	Er Vegt die Handschuhe neben -	B. des Hutes (3) C. dem Hut (4) D. den Hut (9)

Here we note that in each case the most popular answer possessed an inflectional ending identical to the final consonant and/or vowel of the word preceding the blank. After the word über, a majority of the students chose der (item 11); after habe, the majority selected keine (item 9); after um, the majority picked dem (item 6).

If we look at items 1-4 again, we discover that the word preceding the blank furnished no clue of this type; consequently the answers were randomly selected.

Conclusion

It would seem quite apparent that in the particular test which we have been describing the students of the lower 27% who answered items 5 and 12 correctly did so for the wrong reason: they were drawing parellels between the final vowels or consonants of the preceding word and the inflected endings of the options.

With this in mind, the German teacher can proceed to eliminate

from his tests (or simply rework) all items in which such a parallel exists. The inflectional system in German lends itself very easily to such modification for words of another number or gender can always be found to replace the unwanted option.

As regards to the most common prepositions and their complements, the combinations to be avoided may be briefly outlined as follows:

prepositions governing the dative:

von— avoid the plural (aus. bei, mit, nach, seit, zu— no problem)

prepositions governing the accusative:

gegen— avoid masculine singular ohne— avoid feminine singular and the plural bis— avoid neuter singular (durch, für— no problem)

prepositions governing dative or accusative:

an, in, neben, zwischen—avoid dative plural and accusative masculine singular hinter, über, unter, vor— avoid dative feminine singular (auf - no problem

Similar considerations might also be taken into account in the planning of those writing tests in which the student is asked to provide the omitted non-content words. The teacher could check to see that none of the above combinations occur in the test, for if consonant and vowel similarities tend to govern the poorer student's passive choice of inflectional endings, perhaps they also tend to govern his active use of the same endings.

By eliminating dubious multiple-choice and fill-in-the-blank items, the teacher will be taking a definite step in improving the realiability and the validity of his tests.

Boston College

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN EXPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.